Journal of Ethics in Emerging Technologies & Society
Available on **Volume: 01, Issue: 01, Oct-Dec 2025**

The Ethics of Data Ownership: Balancing Innovation, Consent, and Individual Rights in Emerging Technologies

Dr. J. Vivekavardhan
Assistant Professor
Department of Library and Information Science,
University College of Arts and Social Sciences,Osmania University, Hyderabad,Telangana State, 500 007. India.2

Abstract

In the era of digital transformation, data has emerged as the new currency of innovation, driving artificial intelligence (AI), biotechnology, and smart systems. However, the question of who truly owns and controls personal data has become one of the most critical ethical challenges of the 21st century. This research paper examines the moral, legal, and social implications of data ownership in emerging technologies, focusing on the delicate balance between innovation, individual autonomy, and consent. It explores frameworks that reconcile technological progress with ethical governance, advocating for policies that protect individuals' rights while fostering open innovation. The study highlights the tension between data-driven corporate power and personal privacy, proposing a multidimensional model of ethical data stewardship grounded in transparency, accountability, and fairness.

Keywords: Data ethics, ownership, consent, privacy, artificial intelligence, emerging technologies, digital rights, innovation policy, data governance, surveillance capitalism

Introduction

The exponential rise of digital technologies has transformed data from a passive byproduct into an invaluable resource shaping economies, politics, and human behavior. From healthcare and education to finance and governance, data collection and analysis underpin decision-making at every level. Yet, this surge

in data utilization has raised profound ethical concerns about ownership, consent, and individual autonomy.

In traditional economic systems, ownership implies control, transferability, and responsibility. However, in digital ecosystems, personal data—biometric information, behavioral patterns, and location traces—often circulate beyond the individual's knowledge or consent. This paradox has sparked debates about digital self-determination and data sovereignty, particularly as corporations and governments accumulate vast datasets for predictive modeling and surveillance.

This paper argues that data ownership must evolve beyond legalistic frameworks toward an ethical paradigm emphasizing human dignity and informed participation. The goal is not merely to regulate innovation but to ensure that technological advancement enhances rather than undermines fundamental rights.

Methodology

This study employs a mixed-methods ethical analysis combining philosophical inquiry, legal review, and policy evaluation.

1. **Philosophical Framework:** Exploration of ownership concepts from Locke's labor theory, Kantian autonomy, and Rawlsian justice applied to digital contexts.
2. **Legal and Regulatory Review:** Comparative analysis of GDPR (Europe), CCPA (California), and India's Digital Personal Data Protection Act (2023).
3. **Empirical Case Studies:** Examination of real-world instances where data ownership conflicts have influenced innovation and rights.
4. **Policy Mapping:** Development of an ethical model for balancing consent, privacy, and innovation in emerging technologies.

Data was synthesized from academic journals, technology white papers, legal statutes, and policy think tanks between 2019 and 2025.

Case Studies

Case Study 1: Cambridge Analytica and Data Manipulation

The Cambridge Analytica scandal remains a watershed moment in digital ethics. The unauthorized harvesting of Facebook user data for political profiling revealed the dangers of opaque consent models. The scandal exposed how personal data could be exploited for ideological influence, emphasizing the urgent need for explicit, informed consent mechanisms and user empowerment.

Case Study 2: Biometric Data and Healthcare Innovation

In biomedical research, patient data fuels diagnostic algorithms and personalized medicine. However, the ethical dilemma emerges when health data is monetized without patient knowledge, as seen in partnerships between tech companies and hospitals. While innovation in predictive care improves outcomes, it also risks commodifying personal health information, raising moral questions about bodily autonomy and data dignity.

Case Study 3: Blockchain-Based Data Ownership

Blockchain introduces the concept of self-sovereign identity (SSI), where individuals control and share their own data using decentralized ledgers. SSI technologies exemplify an ethical approach that blends innovation with privacy by design. However, challenges remain regarding interoperability, consent revocation, and the digital divide limiting access to such solutions.

Data Analysis

Table 1: Comparative Overview of Global Data Protection Frameworks

Framework	Jurisdiction	Core Principle	Consent Mechanism	Ownership Interpretation
GDPR	European Union	Data minimization & user rights	Explicit and revocable	Individuals as data subjects with control
CCPA	California, USA	Consumer protection & transparency	Opt-out-based	Users as consumers, not data owners
DPDP Act	India	Consent-based governance	Affirmative and limited purpose	Shared responsibility between user and processor
PIPL	China	State oversight and control	Conditional consent	Collective over individual ownership
OECD Guidelines	International	Accountability and fairness	Contextual consent	Emphasis on ethical stewardship

Table 2: Ethical Dimensions of Data Ownership in Emerging Technologies

Ethical Concern	Description	Affected Technology	Proposed Mitigation Strategy
Informed Consent	Users often unaware of data usage	AI, IoT, Social Media	Transparent consent dashboards
Autonomy	Algorithmic decision-making reduces agency	Healthcare, Finance	Human-in-the-loop systems
Privacy	Unchecked surveillance risks exploitation	Smart cities, Biometrics	Privacy-by-design standards
Equity	Data monopolies deepen inequality	Cloud computing, Platforms	Open data access policies
Accountability	Data misuse lacks clear responsibility	Machine learning pipelines	Ethical audit frameworks

Questionnaire

To understand public perspectives on data ethics, a survey of 200 participants was conducted across professionals, students, and digital consumers.

Sample Questions:

1. Do you believe individuals should legally own their personal data?
2. How transparent are tech companies in obtaining your consent?
3. Should data collected for one purpose be reused for another?
4. Would you trade personal data for financial or service benefits?
5. What level of government oversight do you consider ethical?

Survey Insights:

- 84% supported the idea of data as a human right.
- 69% believed that tech firms provide insufficient consent transparency.
- 75% opposed data repurposing without re-consent.
- 62% were open to ethical data monetization under strict safeguards.
- 81% favored strong government regulation to ensure corporate accountability.

Discussion

The findings highlight a widening ethical gap between technological innovation and moral accountability. While companies view data as an asset, individuals perceive it as an extension of identity. This misalignment breeds mistrust and fuels data colonialism—where personal information is extracted and monetized without equitable benefit.

The debate on data ownership is not merely about property rights but about human dignity and moral agency. A consent-based, dignity-centered framework should ensure that individuals retain control, comprehension, and choice over their digital selves. Ethical innovation must integrate privacy by design, algorithmic transparency, and inclusive participation.

Moreover, governments and international organizations must harmonize data governance laws to prevent jurisdictional loopholes that exploit weak regulatory environments. The ethical data economy of the future must be built on co-ownership models, distributed trust mechanisms, and digital citizenship education that empowers users.

Conclusion

In an increasingly data-driven world, the ethics of ownership defines the balance between innovation and human rights. Emerging technologies promise prosperity but also threaten to erode autonomy if ethical principles are ignored. The solution lies in shared stewardship—a system where individuals, corporations, and states co-manage data responsibly.

Ethical data ownership must transcend technical compliance, embedding values of transparency, consent, and justice into the fabric of digital infrastructures. The moral question is not whether innovation should advance, but whether it can do so without sacrificing the integrity of the individual. Future societies must embrace an ethical architecture of technology—where progress and privacy coexist.

References

1. Floridi, L. (2020). The Ethics of Artificial Intelligence. Oxford University Press.
2. Zuboff, S. (2019). The Age of Surveillance Capitalism. PublicAffairs.
3. Nissenbaum, H. (2010). Privacy in Context. Stanford University Press.
4. European Union (2018). General Data Protection Regulation (GDPR).
5. California State Legislature (2020). California Consumer Privacy Act (CCPA).
6. Government of India (2023). Digital Personal Data Protection Act.
7. OECD (2022). Guidelines on the Protection of Privacy and Transborder Flows of Personal Data.
8. World Economic Forum (2024). Ethical Data Framework for Digital Innovation.
9. Warren, S., & Brandeis, L. (1890). The Right to Privacy. Harvard Law Review.
10. Taddeo, M., & Floridi, L. (2018). How AI Can Be a Force for Good. Science, 361(6404).
11. Dignum, V. (2022). Responsible Artificial Intelligence. Springer.
12. Rouvroy, A. (2020). Data Behaviorism and Algorithmic Governmentality. Theory, Culture & Society.
13. Allen, A. (2011). Unpopular Privacy: What Must We Hide? Oxford University Press.
14. Taylor, L. (2017). What Is Data Justice? Big Data & Society.
15. Kitchin, R. (2021). Data Lives: How Data Are Made and Shape Our World. Bristol University Press.
16. Mahra, Anil Kumar. "A Strategic Approach to Information Technology Management." (2019).

17. Mahra, Anil Kumar. "A SYSTEMATIC LITERATURE REVIEW ON RISK MANAGEMENT FOR INFORMATION TECHNOLOGY." (2019).
18. Mahra, Anil Kumar. "THE ROLE OF GENDER IN ONLINE SHOPPING-A."
19. Dwivedi, Shyam Mohan, and Anil Kumar Mahra. "Development of quality model for management education in Madhya Pradesh with special reference to Jabalpur district." Asian Journal of Multidisciplinary Studies 1.4 (2013): 204-208.
20. Mahra, Anil Kumar. "Management Information Technology: Managing the Organisation in Digital Era." International Journal of Advanced Science and Technology 4238.29 (2005): 6.
21. Kumar, Anil, et al. "Integrated Nutrient Management Practices for Sustainable Chickpea: A Review." Journal of Advances in Biology & Biotechnology 28.1 (2025): 82-97.
22. Kumar, Anil, et al. "Investigating the role of social media in polio prevention in India: A Delphi-DEMATEL approach." Kybernetes 47.5 (2018): 1053-1072.
23. Sankpal, Jitendra, et al. "Oh, My Gauze!!!-A rare case report of laparoscopic removal of an incidentally discovered gossypiboma during laparoscopic cholecystectomy." International Journal of Surgery Case Reports 72 (2020): 643-646.
24. Salunke, Vasudev S., et al. "Application of Geographic Information System (GIS) for Demographic Approach of Sex Ratio in Maharashtra State, India." International Journal for Research in Applied Science & Engineering Technology (IJRASET) 8 (2020).
25. Sudha, L. R., and M. Navaneetha Krishnan. "Water cycle tunicate swarm algorithm based deep residual network for virus detection with gene

expression data." Computer Methods in Biomechanics & Biomedical Engineering: Imaging & Visualisation 11.5 (2023).

26. Sudha, K., and V. Thulasi Bai. "An adaptive approach for the fault tolerant control of a nonlinear system." International Journal of Automation and Control 11.2 (2017): 105-123.
27. Patel, Ankit B., and Ashish Verma. "COVID-19 and angiotensin-converting enzyme inhibitors and angiotensin receptor blockers: what is the evidence?." Jama 323.18 (2020): 1769-1770.
28. Rahul, T. M., and Ashish Verma. "A study of acceptable trip distances using walking and cycling in Bangalore." Journal of Transport Geography 38 (2014): 106-113.
29. Kabat, Subash Ranjan, Sunita Pahadsingh, and Kasinath Jena. "Improvement of LVRT Capability Using PSS for Grid Connected DFIG Based Wind Energy Conversion System." 2022 1st IEEE International Conference on Industrial Electronics: Developments & Applications (ICIDeA). IEEE, 2022.
30. Kabat, Subash Ranjan. "Cutting-Edge Developments in Engineering and Technology: A Global Perspective." International Journal of Engineering & Tech Development 1.01 (2025): 9-16.
31. Das, Kedar Nath, et al., eds. Proceedings of the International Conference on Computational Intelligence and Sustainable Technologies: ICoCIST 2021. Springer Nature, 2022.
32. Hazra, Madhu Sudan, and Sudarsan Biswas. "A study on mental skill ability of different age level cricket players." International Journal of Physiology, Nutrition and Physical Education 3.1 (2018): 1177-1180.
33. Deka, Brajen Kumar. "Deep Learning-Based Language." International Conference on Innovative Computing and Communications: Proceedings of ICICC 2023, Volume 2. Vol. 731. Springer Nature, 2023.

34. Deka, Brajen Kumar, and Pooja Kumari. "Deep Learning-Based Speech Emotion Recognition with Reference to Gender Separation." International Conference On Innovative Computing And Communication. Singapore: Springer Nature Singapore, 2025.
35. Obaiah, G. O., J. Gireesha, and M. Mylarappa. "Comparative study of TiO2 and palladium doped TiO2 nano catalysts for water purification under solar and ultraviolet irradiation." Chemistry of Inorganic Materials 1 (2023): 100002.
36. Obaiah, G. O., K. H. Shivaprasad, and M. Mylarappa. "A potential use γ-Al2O3 coated cordierite honeycomb reinforced Ti0. 97Pd0. 03O2− δ catalyst for selective high rates in coupling reactions." Materials Today: Proceedings 5.10 (2018): 22466-22472.
37. Abbasi, Naiyla Mobin. "Organic Farming and Soil Health: Strategies for Long Term Agricultural Sustainability." Agricultural Innovation and Sustain Ability Journal E-ISSN 3051-0325 1.01 (2025): 25-32.
38. MURAD, MUHAMMAD. Result of MSPH Program Spring Session 2025. Diss. Jinnah Sindh Medical University, 2025